## REMARKS

The Examiner's remarks have been carefully considered and requirement for restriction between a number of claims have been carefully studied.

The Examiner has requested election between claims for a vial, a level for having a vial, the method for making the vial and claims for a mold for making same vial.

Applicant submits that the two groups of claims are closely related to each other so that they should be in a single application.

However, a search for a vial, the level with a vial and the method of making a vial would necessarily involve a search of a mold for making the same vial. Likewise, a search for a mold for making a vial must necessarily involve a search of the vial itself, a level with the same vial and method for making the same vial. Hence, it is respectfully submitted that a single related search would be more expeditious than two separate searches.

In view of this, it is respectfully requested that the requirement for restriction be removed and that all of the claims be examined in a single application.

If the Examiner persists on the requests for restriction, applicant hereby elects, with traverse, to prosecute the claims in group I which include claims 1-25 and 35-45, inclusive. This election is being made with traverse.

Early action under merits is respectfully submitted.

Dated: March 12, 2001

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph J. Previto Attorney for Applicant Collard & Roe, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard

Roslyn, New York 11576

(516) 869-5950

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on March 12, 2000.

Joseph J. Previto